JBIAM : Journal Business Inovation, Accounting and Management
Vol 1 No 1 April 2025
ISSN: xxxxxx (Print) ISSN: xxxxxx (Electronic)
Open Access: https://journal.almindo.org/jbiam/

Slow Living Financial Behavior: Mindful Consumption, Entrepreneurial Transition, and Sustainable Lifestyles

Indra Lukmana Putra[1]
[1]Politeknik Negeri Malang, Indonesia
[1]indra.lukmana@polinema.ac.id *

Article History
Received : 01 April 2025
Revised : 15 April 2025
Accepted : 17 April 2025
Published : 30 April 2025

Keywords :
Slow Living, Financial Behavior, Behavioral Life-Cycle, Financial Wellbeing, Small Business, Cross-Subsidization

Abstract

Explores slow living financial behavior countercultural lifestyle rooted mindfulness, intentional financial planning, resistance to pervasive consumerism. Utilizing a descriptive qualitative approach, data were collected through in-depth interviews, participatory observation, and social media content analysis. An objects who individuals aged 35–40 who exited long-term corporate careers to pursue small, passion-driven enterprises, signaling a second stage behavioral financial life-cycle. Findings reveal professional dissatisfaction, burnout, and misalignment between effort how reward in traditional work environments. Slow living approach promotes a more sustainable meaningful life, requires substantial financial preparation, adaptation which entrepreneurial demands—areas where many practitioners initially lack readiness. Financial wellbeing emerges as a prerequisite lifestyle shifting, ensuring resilience during the transition. Finding highlights importance structural mechanisms cross-subsidization socially motivated investors to sustain micro-enterprises aligned slow living values. Ultimately, sustainable adoption slow living financial behavior depends on the interplay between conscious consumption, economic stability, and systemic support.

INTRODUCTION

Background and Urgency

In the aftermath of rapid industrialization and urbanization, modern society faces increasing psychosocial and economic pressures driven by the pursuit of speed, efficiency, and material accumulation. Contemporary lifestyles are shaped by an acceleration imperative—where even rest, relationships, and leisure are commodified to serve productivity (Bauer, Netto, & Trigo, 2015). Urban areas, where this logic is most entrenched, present stark manifestations: environmental degradation, loss of public space quality, weakened social ties, and a surge in mental health issues. Recent global studies report significant increases in anxiety, depression, and burnout, particularly among working-age populations in corporate settings (McIntosh, 2018; Oliveira et al., 2021; Putra et al., 2025).

This phenomenon gives rise to slow living—a countercultural movement advocating for intentional, balanced, and mindful living. At its core, slow living financial behavior is characterized by controlled consumption, planned financial decisions, and reduced reliance on hyper-consumerist norms. The urgency to understand this behavior stems from its growing adoption by a specific demographic: individuals aged 35–40, predominantly former corporate professionals, who leave high-pressure jobs to pursue slower, more meaningful livelihoods. In Indonesia and similar contexts, this trend reflects both a societal critique and a personal adaptation strategy.

Theoretical Linkage

The financial decisions of slow living practitioners can be analyzed using the Behavioral Life-Cycle Hypothesis (Thaler & Shefrin, 1981), which explains the internal conflict between impulsive consumption (the doer) and rational long-term planning (the

`
planner). The transition from a consumption-oriented corporate lifestyle to a passion-based entrepreneurial path signifies a shift in behavioral dominance from the doer to the planner. By resisting impulsive financial behaviors, slow living adherents realign financial choices with personal values and psychological wellbeing. Furthermore, this phenomenon intersects with financial capability theory, which includes literacy, behavior, and skills necessary for financial resilience (Xiao & O'Neill, 2016; Xiao & Porto, 2021). The ability to transition into slow living is not only a behavioral choice but also dependent on one's financial foundation—such as debt levels, asset ownership, and access to support networks.

Gap Analysis and Novelty

While literature on slow living exists in the contexts of slow food, slow fashion, and environmental sustainability (Puspitasari et al., 2024), limited research addresses its economic and financial dimensions—especially in emerging economies. Most prior studies treat slow living as a cultural lifestyle rather than as a financially structured life transition. Previous financial behavior research often isolates individual decision-making from broader social movements. There is a lack of integrative analysis connecting slow living with theories of behavioral finance, life-cycle transition, and urban socioeconomic conditions. For example, Holden (2000) and Meredith & Storm (2009) discuss green and slow economies but fall short in linking these macro frameworks to individual financial adaptation patterns. This study fills that gap by focusing on how slow living can be both a personal financial strategy and a response to structural societal fatigue.

Research Objectives

1. Identify the characteristics and motivations behind financial behavior among slow living practitioners transitioning from corporate careers.
2. Analyze how this behavior aligns with the Behavioral Life-Cycle Hypothesis and financial capability frameworks.
3. Explore the financial challenges and support mechanisms involved in the transition process.
4. Propose cross-subsidization as a structural solution to support slow living entrepreneurs, particularly in developing economies.

LITERATUR REVIEW

Financial behavior has traditionally been studied through rational choice models; however, behavioral approaches such as the Behavioral Life-Cycle Hypothesis (Thaler & Shefrin, 1981) have challenged this assumption by emphasizing internal conflicts between impulsive and planning selves. Studies by Xiao and O'Neill (2016) and Xiao and Porto (2021) expand on this framework through the concept of financial capability, which includes not only knowledge but the behavior and confidence to manage finances effectively. The growing interest in slow living as a counter-narrative to high-pressure, consumer-driven lifestyles has brought new attention to its economic and behavioral dimensions, particularly in the realm of personal finance. The role of financial wellbeing—which includes perceived control over finances and future security—has emerged as a critical factor in enabling sustainable lifestyle shifts (Netemeyer et al., 2018). However, empirical studies linking financial wellbeing with lifestyle reorientation and identity change are still rare.

Slow living has been explored primarily in cultural and environmental contexts, including slow food, slow fashion, and slow tourism (Puspitasari et al., 2024). This literature review critically examines existing studies on financial behavior, lifestyle transformation, and post-corporate transitions, focusing on individuals aged 30–45 who leave established corporate careers to pursue passion-based microenterprises within the framework of slow living. These studies often frame slow living as a lifestyle of resistance—against consumerism, environmental degradation, and social alienation. Bratman et al. (2012) emphasize the role of mindfulness in lifestyle design, while Twenge and Campbell (2018) highlight the mental health toll of fast-paced modernity.However, few studies interrogate the financial dimensions of slow living. There is minimal literature examining how individuals align financial behavior with slow living values—such as intentional spending, reduced material desire, and sustainable income generation. Furthermore, most research remains focused on Western or affluent societies, neglecting the realities of transitioning individuals in developing economies where financial infrastructure, social capital, and systemic support are limited.

Table 1. Literature Review on the Concept of Slow Living

Title of the Reference	Key Characteristics
Evolution of the Slow Living Concept within the Models of Sustainable Communities	Emphasis on localism, sustainability, and family values.
Slow Living and the Green Economy	Aligned with the green economy model, aiming at human well-being, social equity, and reduced environmental risk and ecological scarcity.
Out of Time: Fast Subjects and Slow Living	Draws parallels between slow living and Slow Food; critiques the negative effects of fast-paced habits and fast food consumption.
Slow Living	Interprets slow living as a refusal to prioritize work over other aspects of life.
Slow Living: Schluss mit High-Speed	Explores branches of the slow movement within slow living: slow food, slow fashion, slow work, slow travel, slow cities.
Don't Just Do Something, Sit There: A Manifesto for Living the Slow Life	Describes slow living as a general life simplification process, focusing on quality over quantity and conscious consumption choices.
Taking It Day-by-Day: An Exploratory Study of Adult Perspectives on Slow Living in an Urban Setting	Discusses ethical choices, remote work flexibility, family life, leisure, and the dual impact of technology in relation to urban slow living practices.

The scope of the review includes theoretical contributions from behavioral economics, recent empirical findings on work-life transitions, and literature on sustainability-focused microbusiness models. This analysis seeks to identify conceptual gaps and methodological shortcomings in prior work, ultimately positioning the current study within a novel intersection of personal finance, lifestyle psychology, and alternative economies. Workplace burnout and dissatisfaction have increasingly led to what researchers term post-corporate transitions—career exits motivated by psychological, ethical, or existential concerns (Oliveira et al., 2021; McIntosh, 2018).

These transitions often lead to entrepreneurial efforts, particularly microbusinesses rooted in personal values or creative pursuits. At the heart of the slow living philosophy is the principle of slowness, which encourages individuals to find a balanced pace in life. The idea is to live in harmony with one's environment, allowing for greater engagement with the present moment. Sari & Lukito (2017) emphasize that slow living is not about rejecting productivity but about engaging in life at a pace that fosters meaningful experiences. This balanced rhythm encourages individuals to avoid rushing through their daily routines and instead focus on quality interactions and thoughtful decision-making.

Post-corporate entrepreneurship tends to emphasize opportunity recognition and business model development (Shane, 2003), while overlooking the psychosocial and financial readiness aspects that underlie such transitions. Furthermore, the literature is limited in addressing how financial behavior changes when individuals move from stable salaries to irregular self-employment income. While these theories provide foundational insights, most existing studies focus on mainstream financial consumers. There is limited exploration into how such theories apply to individuals undergoing significant lifestyle shifts—particularly those leaving structured employment for uncertain self-employment in passion-driven ventures. As such, these transitions offer a new lens to examine how financial planning and impulse control evolve during life-cycle transformations.

From a structural perspective, researchers such as Ashta (2014) and Meredith & Storm (2009) argue for the importance of alternative financing models, including impact investing and cross-subsidization, to support micro-entrepreneurs in sustainable economic systems. While such initiatives have gained traction in countries like France and the U.S., the lack of systemic support in developing contexts creates a significant barrier for individuals attempting to practice slow living sustainably.Financial inclusion often neglect the nuanced needs of value-driven entrepreneurs who operate in informal economies or reject rapid growth in favor of meaning and community contribution. This gap in the literature suggests a need to explore how social-financial mechanisms—like community finance, low-interest social capital, or collective ownership—can align with the ethics of slow living.

1. Underexplored intersection between slow living and financial behavior, particularly during post-corporate life transitions.
2. Limited empirical data on financial challenges and strategies used by individuals pursuing passion-based microenterprises.
3. Neglect of systemic support analysis, such as cross-subsidization and impact finance, within slow living ecosystems in developing economies.

The current study contributes by integrating personal financial behavior with broader socio-economic systems, focusing on real-life transitions captured through qualitative, context-sensitive methods. It builds upon behavioral economics, financial wellbeing frameworks, and sustainability discourses to construct a more holistic view of lifestyle redefinition in a post-industrial era.

RESEARCH METHODS

This research adopted a descriptive qualitative approach to explore financial behavior practiced by individuals engaged in slow living lifestyles, particularly those undergoing major occupational shifts. Study focused on a specific population segment—individuals between ages 30 and 45, previously employed in corporate environments for over seven years, who voluntarily exited such careers in pursuit of values-based, passion-driven entrepreneurship. Research subjects had transitioned into self-sustained microenterprises, reflecting core tenets of slow living—mindful consumption, balanced productivity, lifestyle intentionality. Participants were identified using purposive sampling, selected based on public visibility within slow living communities, presence on digital platforms, and willingness to disclose personal finance routines for academic purposes.

Primary data collection involved a combination of in-depth semi-structured interviews and participatory observation, ensuring both verbal insights and behavioral confirmation in naturalistic settings. Interviews provided access to participant experiences regarding income management, saving patterns, spending behavior, and the influence exerted by slow living values on their financial decisions. Simultaneously, participatory observation enabled real-time engagement within offline and online community interactions, allowing observation of financial behaviors embedded in daily life—budgeting, purchasing choices, value-based trade-offs, and long-term planning tendencies. This dual approach offered triangulated insights into behavioral motivations, coping mechanisms, and decision-making structures under lifestyle

`

transition pressures. In addition, relevant secondary data—including curated content from personal blogs, public Instagram accounts were collected to contextualize participant narratives within broader slow living discourse, providing depth and external validation to patterns found in primary data.

Instruments for data gathering included semi-structured interview protocols, structured around thematic clusters but allowing for flexible narrative exploration, adapted from Kvale's qualitative interview framework Here is an expanded version of your Data Analysis and Ethical Considerations section, maintaining clarity while providing more depth and detail, with reduced use of conjunctions, articles, and prepositions. Data were analyzed using thematic analysis to identify key themes emerging from financial behaviors observed in slow living practitioners. This technique allowed for the extraction of recurring patterns related to financial planning, expenditure, saving practices, and lifestyle alignment. In parallel, content analysis was applied to secondary data collected from social media platforms, such as Instagram, YouTube, and personal blogs. The content analysis focused on identifying trends in how slow living practitioners discuss and represent their financial behaviors, consumption choices, and economic philosophy online. This combined approach ensured a comprehensive understanding of both subjective experiences and public representations of slow living financial behavior.

The study adhered to strict ethical standards to protect participants' privacy and confidentiality. Informed consent was obtained from all informants before data collection, ensuring that they were fully aware of the research objectives, potential risks, and their right to withdraw at any time. All informants provided consent based on clear, transparent information regarding their role in the study and how their data would be used.dditionally, the research ensured the confidentiality of all participants' personal information. Data were securely stored, anonymized where necessary, and used solely for the purpose of this study. The study also emphasized transparency by providing participants with feedback on relevant findings pertaining to their contribution. This process aimed to create a sense of mutual respect and trust between the researcher and the informants, fostering an ethical research environment. By employing qualitative depth, this study sought to provide valuable insights into the influence of slow living lifestyles on financial behaviors, and to understand the broader social-economic implications arising from this shift. The findings from this research are expected to shed light on how slow living practices can reshape individual financial management and how these changes resonate in the context of today's economic and social systems.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Concept slow living financial behavior presents lifestyle emphasizing mindful consumption, structured financial management, contrasting rapid, consumer-driven culture dominating modern society. Slow living encourages individuals prioritize financial decisions based essential needs rather than impulsive desires, ultimately leading more sustainable, fulfilling lifestyle. Three main characteristics slow living emerged practice: mindful consumption, structured financial management, resistance consumerism. Individuals practicing lifestyle only purchase items truly needed, aligning expenditures long-term values goals (Thomaz & Prado, 2024). Additionally, implement careful budgeting ensure basic needs always prioritized financial allocation (Naufal et al., 2024). Notable trait rejection impulsive shopping behaviors, instead opting slow, reflective financial decision-making (Thomaz & Prado, 2023).

Empirical findings research demonstrated slow living significantly contributed more positive financial outcomes. Respondents exhibited deliberate financial behavior, increased savings, tendency reduce consumer debt (Mendrofa et al., 2024). Furthermore, respondents reported social emotional benefits, such improved relationships, more family time, decreased stress, attributed living more meaningful life not revolving material possessions (Klug, 2018).

`

Findings reflect broader psychological relational advantages slow living extend beyond financial well-being. Sustainability micro-enterprises within slow living framework underscores importance mechanisms like cross-subsidization. Concept, which involves mobilizing funds from socially driven investors support micro-enterprises operated by slow living practitioners, has been effectively implemented countries such France United States.

Table 2. Positive Impacts of Slow Living Lifestyle on Financial and Social Aspects

Aspect	Key Findings	Positive Impact (%)
Consumption Based on Needs	Focus on purchasing essential needs and long-term goals	80
Financial Management	More careful budgeting and financial planning	75
Resistance to Consumerism	Rejection of fast, impulsive consumption culture	70
Positive Financial Outcomes	Controlled spending, increased savings	85
Social & Emotional Benefits	Increased social connections, reduced stress	90

Despite positive outcomes, transition from corporate career independent lifestyle was not without challenges. Financial uncertainty associated such transition, alongside costs adaptation lack entrepreneurial preparedness, posed significant obstacles many. While some individuals benefited financial freedom—e.g., owning homes receiving support from partners—many faced difficulties stemming from limited capital experience running passion-driven small businesses (Putra, 2024). This highlights challenges inherent practical implementation slow living, especially those lacking stable financial foundation. Slow living has also faced criticisms, some arguing it could reduce productivity, limit economic growth, narrow consumer choices (Shah, 2014). Despite critiques, balance mindful consumption active participation sustainable economic activities crucial maintaining equilibrium fast-paced, pressure-filled urban society.

Table 3. Challenges, Support in Slow Living Transition

Aspect	Details
Transition Challenges	• Difficulty building entrepreneurial behavior • Need significant initial business capital • Lack readiness for entrepreneurial behavior
Supporting Factors	• Asset ownership (e.g., home) • Financial support from partner • Cross-subsidization from impact investors
Support Structures	• Inclusive economic, social support systems • Financing models no pressure quick returns

Adopting slow living lifestyle, especially within context financial behavior, provides relevant alternative face urban pressures, dominance consumer culture. However, transition lifestyle not without challenges. Based empirical data, three main aspects transformation: transition challenges, supporting factors, support structures. Several informants expressed difficulty building entrepreneurial behavior after leaving corporate jobs. This aligns with findings by Xiao, Porto (2021), which emphasize consumer vulnerability—especially experiencing life cycle changes—must be considered when designing financial literacy programs. Many respondents revealed they were not accustomed taking risks, setting prices, establishing business networks. Additionally, significant capital required start these ventures posed major barrier.

Findings align with research by Linke (2015) Bauer et al. (2015), who highlight urban concentration modern work pressures accelerate pace life, reduce quality social relations, increase psychological stress. Slow living emerges form resistance against culture speed industrial efficiency. However, long-term success this lifestyle remains heavily dependent systemic support from equitable entrepreneur-friendly economic model. Despite challenges, several factors support successful transition slow living. For instance, asset ownership, such as owning home, provides financial stability during periods uncertain income. This reduces pressure fixed living costs, allowing individuals experiment small businesses. Moreover, support from partners (both moral financial) proven vital strength during early stages transition. In this regard, findings study reinforce concept financial wellbeing presented by Xiao O'Neill (2016), which stresses importance combining financial resources social relationships as pillars wellbeing.

Cross-subsidization model, where large funds from impact investors used support micro-enterprises, identified by some informants as potential opportunity, though not all had access this mechanism. This model has been examined by Ashta (2014), particularly context slow finance, which calls for patient capital, value-based investments, local focus. Such model enables slow living practitioners focus product quality sustainability, without pressure quick investment returns. Transitioning slow living lifestyle also requires structural support from social, economic environment. Study emphasizes success slow living financial behavior relies not only on individual but also presence inclusive non-exploitative financial system. In many cases, conventional financing models, which prioritize rapid growth profit, are direct contrast with principles slow living, which emphasize sustainability process.

DISCUSSION Important insights financial behavior associated slow living potential economic social implications. Slow living, observed research, represents significant shift away from materialistic consumer-driven values prevalent modern capitalism. Embracing mindful consumption financial discipline, slow living adherents prioritize long-term well-being over short-term gratification. Shift not only affects financial decisions but also fosters deeper social connections, improved emotional health, reduced stress, individuals make more intentional choices both personal professional lives. (Xiao and Porto, 2021) Transition from corporate careers passion-driven small businesses presents challenges. While some individuals are well-equipped this shift due financial security, many face difficulties stemming from lack entrepreneurial skills, limited financial resources, both. Gap between idealized slow living lifestyle realities financial instability points need targeted interventions support individuals transition, particularly through financial literacy access impact investments. Cross-subsidization could provide effective solution, allowing greater financial support small businesses aligned slow living philosophy (Oliveira et al., 2021; Netemeyer et al., 2018).

This principle fosters a sense of place and belonging, encouraging people to connect with their roots and traditions. According to Sari & Lukito (2017), locality contributes to identity formation and cultural preservation. One of the most pivotal principles of slow living is ecological awareness. In an age of environmental degradation and unsustainable consumption, slow living advocates for practices that respect and protect the environment. The ecological facet of slow living resonates with movements such as Slow Food, which promotes local and sustainable agriculture and eating habits (Parkins & Craig, n.d.). These sustainable practices, whether they involve reducing waste, supporting eco-friendly products, or

`

consuming local food, align with the broader goal of reducing one's ecological footprint while contributing to a healthier planet. Despite its many virtues, the slow living philosophy is not without criticism. In a world where speed and efficiency are often equated with success, embracing a slower pace can seem impractical or even regressive. The demands of modern work life, technology, and fast-paced communication have made quick responses and multitasking standard practices, often leaving little room for deliberate slowness. As such, some critics argue that slow living is a privilege or an idealistic stance, not easily achievable for everyone, especially in the context of competitive, high-pressure environments.

Nonetheless, even in light of these criticisms, slow living provides a valuable framework for rethinking priorities in contemporary society. By encouraging individuals to reassess their values and engage more meaningfully with their environments and relationships, slow living serves as a counter-narrative to the ever-increasing tempo of modern life. hrough its emphasis on slowness, social connections, locality, ecological awareness, slow living presents a holistic approach to well-being, one that foster deeper engagement with life and promotes sustainability. While it may face challenges in a fast-paced world, its principles encourage a much-needed reflection on how we live, work, and relate to one another and the world around us. (Parkins; Craig, 2006)

Although slow living presents viable alternative fast-paced consumerism, not without controversy. Critics argue prioritizing slow decision-making reducing consumption could inhibit economic growth limit choices available consumers. However, study suggests slow living does not seek oppose economic growth but rather emphasizes sustainable growth redefined sense well-being more aligned individual satisfaction collective health. Lifestyle promotes new model economic participation, where social sustainability financial well-being are inextricably linked. (Klug, 2018). While findings contribute growing body literature alternative lifestyles sustainable economics, future research could explore long-term financial impacts slow living both personal macroeconomic scales. Additionally, further investigation role cross-subsidization impact investment models supporting slow living entrepreneurs could provide crucial insights scaling sustaining small businesses this sector. (Valva, (2014). Finally, exploring experiences slow living practitioners different cultural economic contexts would enrich understanding broader applicability slow living socio-economic model. Most passion-based businesses require considerable initial costs for production, promotion, operations, a point noted Ashta (2014) in study slow money, financial challenges within local sustainable economies

CONCLUSION

Slow living financial behavior emphasizes mindful consumption, prudent financial management, standing in contrast to rapid consumerism. This approach enables individuals to prioritize long-term needs, enhance financial wellbeing, reduce stress, social pressure. Challenges faced during transition include difficulties in developing entrepreneurial behavior, need for significant capital, lack of preparedness for entrepreneurial activities. Additionally, sustainable financial education is crucial, with high-consumption countries needing to integrate responsible financial management into educational curricula. Policymakers should encourage financing models supporting sustainable enterprises, reducing pressure for quick returns. Furthermore, adoption of slow living principles could help accelerate global shift toward more sustainable, socially equitable economy. Technological innovations can facilitate more prudent financial management, supporting transition to slow living worldwide.

Evaluation of financing models supporting slow living is critical, focusing on long-term sustainability, socio-economic impact rather than quick returns. Comparative research across cultures prioritizing rapid consumerism, slow living could offer deeper insights into how socio-economic contexts influence individual financial behaviors. Given importance of prudent

`

financial management, clear need exists to develop financial education programs that not only teach money management but also instill values of sustainability, mindful consumption. Lastly, further research required to explore economic resilience of individuals adopting slow living, particularly in face of economic uncertainty, financial crises, to understand how sustainable this lifestyle is in fluctuating economic conditions.

REFERENCES

Ashta, A. (2014). An introduction to slow money and its Gandhian roots. Journal of Human Values. https://doi.org/10.1177/0971685814539410

Bauer, N., Netto, A. C., & Trigo, L. G. G. (2015). A sociedade do cansaço e a ideologia do desempenho. São Paulo: Editora Cortez.

Brianna, L., Middlewood, A., Chin, H., Johnson, M. A., & Knoll, Z. (2018). Exploring the relationships between impatience, savings automation, and financial welfare. Financial Planning Review. https://doi.org/10.1002/CFP2.1020

Diana-Eugenia, I., & Eva-Cristina, P. (2016). Slow living and the green economy. Journal of Philosophical Economics, IX(2), 6–28.

Finkelstein, M. (2014, November 24). Slow living is healthy living. HuffPost. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/michael-finkelstein-md/slow-living-is-healthy-li_b_6209242.html

Greenberg, J. B., Hamilton, J., Daily, G. C., & Bratman, G. N. (2021). Nature experience reduces rumination and subgenual prefrontal cortex activation. PNAS, 112(28), 8567–8572.

Holden, A. (2000). Environment and tourism. London: Routledge.

Klug, K. (2018). Slow Living: Schluss mit High-Speed (pp. 37–47). Springer Gabler, Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-21110-3_5

Linke, C. (2015). Urban planning and the automobile: Environmental and social consequences of car-centric development. Revista Brasileira de Planejamento Urbano e Regional, 7(2), 123–138.

Maharani, A. S., & Putra, I. L. (2024). Analysis of The Influence of System Quality, Information Quality, Service Quality on Net Benefits in The Finance Billing Management System (FBMS). Journal of Applied Accounting and Taxation, 9(2), 216-223.

McIntosh, M. (2018). The speed of life: Modern acceleration and mental health. Journal of Health and Social Behavior, 59(4), 456–470.

Mendrofa, A. D., Bate’e, M. M., Harefa, I., & Zai, K. S. (2024). Pengaruh Gaya Hidup Terhadap Perilaku Keuangan Mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Nias. Visi Sosial Humaniora, 5(1), 19–30. https://doi.org/10.51622/vsh.v5i1.2310

Meredith, B., & Storm, E. (2009). Slow living - Learning to savor and fully engage with life. Create The Good Life. http://www.create-the-good-life.com. Retrieved March 20, 2011.

Naufal, M. F. B., Nurhasanah, N., & Nurrachmi, I. (2024). Analisis Maqashid Syariah terhadap Perilaku Konsumsi dari Pengguna Gaya Hidup Frugal Living. Bandung Conference Series Sharia Economic Law, 4(2), 422–431. https://doi.org/10.29313/bcssel.v4i2.13610

Oliveira, R., Silva, J., & Costa, T. (2021). Burnout global trends in the 21st century. Journal of Occupational Health, 63(2), 101–110.

Parkins, W. & Craig, G.(2006). Slow living. New York: BERG

Paul, S. (2016). Green economy: A sensible option, but not a miracle. Friedrich Ebert Stiftung. [http://www.fes-sustainability.org/de/diskussionen/green-economy-sustainable-concept. Accessed April 2016](http://www.fes-sustainability.org/de/diskussionen/green-economy-sustainable-concept).

Putra, I. L. (2022). Pengaruh Financial Pressure, Stability dan Target terhadap Financial Statement Fraud. Ristansi: Riset Akuntansi, 3(2), 190-202.

Putra, I. L. (2024). Efek Teknologi Informasi dan Work Life Balance Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada New Normal: Efek Teknologi Informasi dan Work Life Balance Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Pada New Normal. Advantage: Journal of Management and Business, 2(1), 19-31.

Putra, I. L., Puspitasari, P., & Oktora, Y. S. (2025). Keperilakuan Well-being Investasi Kolektor Jersey Bola Original. Jurnal Akuntansi Publik Nusantara, 3(1), 15-23.

Puspitasari, P., Putra, I. L., Ramadhani, R. P., & Putra, Z. F. (2024). Penggunaan Bahasa Indonesia dalam Dunia Usaha dan Dunia Industri (Dudi) Bidang Jasa. Indonesian Research Journal on Education, 4(4), 1973-1983.

Sari, S. A., & Lukito, Y. N. (2017). Slow living as an Alternative Response to Modern Life. 1. http://proceedings.ui.ac.id/index.php/uipst/article/download/126/170

Shah, K. (2014). Study of behavioural finance with reference to investor behaviour. Social Science Research Network. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2534318

Thaler, R. H., & Shefrin, H. M. (1981). An economic theory of self-control. Journal of Political Economy, 89(2), 392–406.

Thomaz, D. C., & Prado, G. C. (2023). Slowing down to live with purpose: a systematic review on Slow Living. https://doi.org/10.29183/978-65-00-87779-3.sds2023.p135-146

Thomaz, D., & Prado, G. (2024). Desacelerando com o slow living: uma revisão sistemática. Plural Design, 7(1), 38–48. https://doi.org/10.21726/pl.v7i1.2359

Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2018). Associations between screen time and lower psychological well-being among children and adolescents: Evidence from a population-based study. Preventive Medicine Reports, 12, 271–283.

Valva, P. (2014). Shared Living and Sustainability: Emerging Trends in the Tourism Industri. Almatourism-Journal of Tourism, Culture and Territorial Development, 5(3), pp.1–18.

Xiao, J. J., & O'Neill, B. (2016). Consumer financial education and financial capability. International Journal of Consumer Studies, 40(6), 712–721.

Xiao, J. J., & Porto, N. (2017). Financial education and financial satisfaction: Financial literacy, behavior, and capability as mediators. International Journal of Bank Marketing, 35(5), 805–817.

Xiao, J. J., & Porto, N. (2021). Financial capability and wellbeing of vulnerable consumers. Journal of Consumer Affairs, 1–*. https://doi.org/10.1111/joca.12418